UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,399	08/19/2003	Francis X. McKeen	42P15739	7924
8791 7590 07/09/2008 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			EXAMINER	
			DOLLINGER, TONIA LYNN MEONSKE	
SUMMI VALE,	SUNN I VALE, CA 94085-4040		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2181	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/644,399	MCKEEN, FRANCIS X.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tonia LM Dollinger	2181
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 A</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1,5-7,9 and 11-19 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,5-7,9,11-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 2181

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. Claims 15 and 16 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: In claims 15-16, line 1, the limitation "computer readable medium" is not described in the specification. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 9 and 19 are objected to because of the following informalities: The following limitation appearing in both claims is redundant "wherein the exception handler determines if the return address from the first stack or the return address from the second stack is to be used as a value for an instruction pointer, wherein the exception handler determines if the return address from the first stack, or the return address from the second stack is to be used as a value for an instruction pointer". Please delete the second wherein clause since it is essentially the same as the first wherein clause. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Art Unit: 2181

4. Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. In claims 15-20, line 1, the limitation "computer readable medium" is not supported in the specification. With the limitation it appears that applicant may be referring to signal bearing media on page 9, line 14, of the specification. If so then transmission type media (which is not tangible) is included in the limitation (see page 9, line 13) and the claim is not patentable. Examiner suggests changing "computer readable medium" to "computer recordable type media" to be consistent with the specification on page 9, line 15 and to avoid this 101 rejection. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1, 5-7, 9, 11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lee et al., US Patent 6,996,677 (herein after referred to as Lee).
- 7. Referring to claim 1, Lee has taught a method, comprising:
 - a. encountering a function call instruction that calls a called function during program execution (abstract, column 2, lines 29-50, jump routine);

Art Unit: 2181

b. saving a return address in a first stack and in a second stack at the same time, the return address containing an instruction to be executed after execution of the called function (abstract, lines 1-12, column 2, lines 29-50, A return address is saved in a first stack and a second stack upon encountering a jump routine. The first stack is element 118 in Figure 2. The second stack is shown in Figure 2, elements 110a-110n. 110a-110n is a computer memory consisting of arrays of memory elements stacked one on top of another, which is a stack. See Merriam-webster's online dictionary to support this definition of stack.), wherein the second stack stores a return address for each function call instruction that calls a called function during program execution (column 4, lines 6-17, When a subroutine is called during program execution, the return address is stored in element 110a-n.);

- c. executing the called function (abstract, column 2, lines 29-50, A jump to subroutine is executed.);
- d. determining if the return address stored in the first stack matches the return address stored in the second stack to provide protection from a buffer overflow attack (abstract, column 2, lines 29-50, first comparator and second comparator);
- e. executing exception handling code if an exception is generated when the return addresses do not match (abstract, column 2, lines 29-50, An interrupt signal is generated if the addresses are not the same. Exception handling software is continually executed by the protection co-processor.),

Art Unit: 2181

f. wherein the exception handling code determines what value to pass to a program pointer based on the return address retrieved from each of the first and second stacks (abstract, column 2, lines 29-50, column 4, line 38-column 5, line 18. column 5, lines 38-41, column 6, lines 59-column 7, line 40, When the values retrieved from both stacks are equal, then the program counter is updated with the current return address value, otherwise an exception is generated to pass the correct value to the program counter.).

- 8. Referring to claim 5, Lee has taught the method of claim 1, as described above, and wherein the exception handling code terminates execution of the program (abstract, column 2, lines 29-50, column 4, line 38-column 5, line 18. column 5, lines 38-41, column 6, lines 59-column 7, line 40, The instruction to move data to the PC register is aborted.).
- 9. Referring to claim 6, Lee has taught a method, comprising:
 - a. processing instructions within a virtual machine (abstract, column 2, lines 29-50, column 5, lines 39-41, A software jump/return routine is executed.);
 - b. saving a return address in a first stack and in a second stack at the same time, the return address being an address at which program execution is to resume after execution of a called function (abstract, column 2, lines 29-50);
 - c. comparing the return addresses saved in the first and second stack upon execution of the called function (abstract, column 2, lines 29-50, first comparator); and

Art Unit: 2181

- d. exiting the virtual machine if the return addresses do not match to provide protection from a buffer overflow attack (abstract, column 2, lines 29-50, column 4, line 38-column 5, line 18. column 5, lines 38-41, column 6, lines 59column 7, line 40, The instruction to move data to the PC register is aborted.) wherein the second stack stores a return address for each function call instruction that calls a called function during program execution (column 4, lines 6-17, When a subroutine is called during program execution, the return address is stored in element 110a-n.), and wherein an exception handler determines if the return address from the first stack or the return address from the second stack is to be used as a value for an instruction pointer (abstract, column 2, lines 29-50, column 4, line 38-column 5, line 18. column 5, lines 38-41, column 6, lines 59column 7, line 40, When the return values retrieved from both stacks are equal, then the program counter is updated with the current return address value, otherwise an exception is generated to pass the correct value to the program counter.).
- 10. Referring to claim 7, Lee has taught the method of claim 6, as described above, and further comprising passing control to the exception handler (abstract, column 2, lines 29-50, column 4, line 38-column 5, line 18, column 5, lines 38-41, column 6, lines 59-column 7, line 40, An interrupt signal is generated if the addresses are not the same to load the PC register with a correct value.).
- 11. Referring to claim 9, Lee has taught a method, comprising:

Art Unit: 2181

a. creating first and second stacks for a program during execution of the program (abstract, column 2, lines 29-50, column 4, line 38-column 5, line 18, Values for the first and second stacks are created and pushed on the stacks during program execution.);

- b. encountering a function call to a called function (abstract, column 2, lines 29-50, column 4, line 38-column 5, line 18, jump to subroutine);
- c. storing data for the called function and a return address in the first stack (abstract, column 2, line 29-column 3, line 15, column 3, line 54-column 4, lines 26, column 4, line 38-column 5, line 18, first stack);
- d. storing the return address in the second stack at the same time as the first stack (abstract, column 2, line 29-column 3, line 15, column 3, line 54-column 4, lines 26, column 4, line 38-column 5, line 18, second stack); and
- e. passing control of the program to an exception handler if the return address stored in the first stack does not match the return address stored in the second stack upon execution of the called function to provide protection from a buffer overflow attack (abstract, column 2, line 29-column 3, line 15, column 3, line 54-column 4, lines 26, column 4, line 38-column 5, line 18, When the addresses do not match an exception is generated.), wherein the exception handler determines if the return address from the first stack or the return address from the second stack is to be used as a value for an instruction pointer, wherein the exception handler determines if the return address from the first stack, or the return address from the second stack is to be used as a value for an instruction

Art Unit: 2181

pointer (abstract, column 2, lines 29-50, column 4, line 38-column 5, line 18. column 5, lines 38-41, column 6, lines 59-column 7, line 40, When the return address values retrieved from both stacks are equal, then the program counter is updated with the current return address value, otherwise an exception is generated to pass the correct value to the program counter.).

- 12. Referring to claim 11, Lee has taught a processor, comprising:
 - a. memory management logic to allocate first and second memory locations corresponding to first and second stacks, respectively, when a function call instruction calls to a called function is encountered during program execution (abstract, column 2, lines 29-50, column 4, line 38-column 5, line 18, Values for the first and second stacks are created and pushed on the stacks during program execution of jump to subroutines.);
 - b. function call logic to write a return address to a memory location from the first memory locations and to a memory location from the second memory locations at the same time (abstract, lines 19-22, column 2, lines 29-50, A return address is saved in a first stack and a second stack upon encountering a jump routine.), the return address being an address at which program flow is to resume after execution of the called function (abstract, lines 19-22, column 2, lines 29-50, The return address is loaded into the program counter such that program flow resumes after executing a jump instruction.); and
 - c. buffer overflow control logic to determine if the return address retrieved from the first memory locations matches the return address retrieved from the

Art Unit: 2181

second memory locations, upon execution of the called function to provide protection from a buffer overflow attack (abstract, column 2, lines 29-50, first comparator and second comparator) wherein the exception handler determines if the return address from the first stack, or the return address from the second stack is to be used as a value for an instruction pointer (abstract, column 2, lines 29-50, column 4, line 38-column 5, line 18. column 5, lines 38-41, column 6, lines 59-column 7, line 40, When the return address values retrieved from both stacks are equal, then the program counter is updated with the current return address value, otherwise an exception is generated to pass the correct value to the program counter.).

- 13. Referring to claim 12, Lee has taught the processor of claim 11, as described above, and wherein the function call logic and the buffer overflow control logic comprises microcode stored within the processor (column 5, lines 39-41).
- 14. Referring to claim 13, Lee has taught a system, comprising:
 - a. a memory (Figure 3, element 102); and
 - b. a processor coupled to the memory (Figure 3, at least elements 101, 140, 142, and 144), the processor comprising memory management logic to allocate first and second memory locations corresponding to first and second stacks, respectively, when a function call instruction that calls a called function is encountered during program execution (abstract, column 2, lines 29-50, column 4, line 38-column 5, line 18, Values for the first and second stacks are created and pushed on the stacks during program execution.);

Art Unit: 2181

c. function call logic to write a return address to a memory location from the first memory locations and to a memory location from the second memory locations at the same time (abstract, lines 19-22, column 2, lines 29-50, A return address is saved in a first stack and a second stack upon encountering a jump routine.), the return address being an address at which program flow is to resume after execution of the called function (abstract, lines 19-22, column 2, lines 29-50, The return address is loaded into the program counter such that program flow resumes after executing a jump instruction.); and

- d. buffer overflow control logic to determine if the return address retrieved from the first memory locations matches the return address retrieved from the second memory locations, upon execution of the called function to provide protection from a buffer overflow attack (abstract, column 2, lines 29-50, first comparator and second comparator) wherein the exception handler determines if the return address from the first stack, or the return address from the second stack is to be used as a value for an instruction pointer (abstract, column 2, lines 29-50, column 4, line 38-column 5, line 18. column 5, lines 38-41, column 6, lines 59-column 7, line 40, When the return address values retrieved from both stacks are equal, then the program counter is updated with the current return address value, otherwise an exception is generated to pass the correct value to the program counter.).
- 15. Referring to claim 14, Lee has taught the system of claim 13, as described above, and wherein the memory management logic, the function call logic, and the

Art Unit: 2181

buffer overflow control logic comprise microcode stored within the processor (column 5, lines 39-41).

16. Referring to claim 15, Lee has taught a computer readable medium having stored thereon a sequence of instructions which when executed by a processor, cause the processor to perform a method comprising:

- a. encountering a function call instruction that calls a called function during program execution (abstract, column 2, lines 29-50, jump routine);
- b. saving a return address in a first stack and in a second stack at the same time (abstract, lines 19-22, column 2, lines 29-50, A return address is saved in a first stack and a second stack upon encountering a jump routine.), the return address containing an instruction to be executed after execution of the called function (abstract, lines 19-22, column 2, lines 29-50, The return address is loaded into the program counter such that program flow resumes after executing a jump instruction.);
- c. executing the called function (abstract, column 2, lines 29-50, A jump to subroutine is executed.); and
- d. determining if the return address stored in the first stack matches the return address stored in the second stack to provide protection from a buffer overflow attack (abstract, column 2, lines 29-50, first comparator and second comparator) wherein the exception handler determines if the return address from the first stack, or the return address from the second stack is to be used as a value for an instruction pointer (abstract, column 2, lines 29-50, column 4, line

Art Unit: 2181

38-column 5, line 18. column 5, lines 38-41, column 6, lines 59-column 7, line 40, When the return values retrieved from both stacks are equal, then the program counter is updated with the current return address value, otherwise an exception is generated to pass the correct value to the program counter.).

- 17. Referring to claim 16, Lee has taught the computer readable medium of claim 15, as described above, and wherein the method further comprises generating an exception if the return addresses do not match (abstract, column 2, lines 29-50, An interrupt signal is generated if the addresses are not the same.).
- 18. Referring to claim 17, Lee has taught a computer recordable type medium having stored thereon a sequence of instructions which when executed by a processor, cause the processor to perform a method comprising:
 - a. processing instructions within a virtual machine (abstract, column 2, lines 29-50, column 5, lines 39-41, A software jump/return routine is executed.);
 - b. saving a return address in a first stack and in a second stack at the same time (abstract, lines 19-22, column 2, lines 29-50, A return address is saved in a first stack and a second stack upon encountering a jump routine.), the return address being an address at which program execution is to resume after execution of a called function (abstract, lines 19-22, column 2, lines 29-50, The return address is loaded into the program counter such that program flow resumes after executing a jump instruction.);

Art Unit: 2181

c. comparing the return addresses saved in the first and second stack upon execution of the called function (abstract, column 2, lines 29-50, first comparator and second comparator); and

- d. exiting the virtual machine if the return addresses do not match to provide protection from a buffer overflow attack (abstract, column 2, lines 29-50, column 4, line 38-column 5, line 18. column 5, lines 38-41, column 6, lines 59-column 7, line 40, The instruction to move data to the PC register is aborted.) wherein the exception handler determines if the return address from the first stack, or the return address from the second stack is to be used as a value for an instruction pointer (abstract, column 2, lines 29-50, column 4, line 38-column 5, line 18. column 5, lines 38-41, column 6, lines 59-column 7, line 40, When the return values retrieved from both stacks are equal, then the program counter is updated with the current return address value, otherwise an exception is generated to pass the correct value to the program counter.).
- 19. Referring to claim 18, Lee has taught the computer recordable type medium of claim 17, as described above, and wherein the method further comprises passing control to an exception handler (abstract, column 2, lines 29-50, column 4, line 38-column 5, line 18, column 5, lines 38-41, column 6, lines 59-column 7, line 40, An interrupt signal is generated if the addresses are not the same to load the PC register with a correct value.).

Art Unit: 2181

20. Referring to claim 19, Lee has taught a computer recordable type medium having stored thereon a sequence of instructions which when executed by a processor, cause the processor to perform a method comprising:

- a. creating first and second stacks for a program during execution of the program (abstract, column 2, lines 29-50, column 4, line 38-column 5, line 18, Values for the first and second stacks are created and pushed on the stacks during program execution.);
- b. encountering a function call to a called function (abstract, column 2, lines 29-50, jump routine);
- c. storing data for the called function and a return address in the first stack (abstract, column 2, line 29-column 3, line 15, column 3, line 54-column 4, lines 26, column 4, line 38-column 5, line 18, first stack);
- d. storing the return address in the second stack at the same time as the first stack (abstract, column 2, line 29-column 3, line 15, column 3, line 54-column 4, lines 26, column 4, line 38-column 5, line 18, second stack); and
- e. passing control of the program to an exception handler if the return address stored in the first stack does not match the return address stored in the second stack upon execution of the called function to provide protection from a buffer overflow attack (abstract, column 2, line 29-column 3, line 15, column 3, line 54-column 4, lines 26, column 4, line 38-column 5, line 18, When the addresses do not match an exception is generated.) wherein the exception handler determines if the return address from the first stack, or the return

Art Unit: 2181

address from the second stack is to be used as a value for an instruction pointer, wherein the exception handler determines if the return address from the first stack and the return address from the second stack is to be used as a value for an instruction pointer (abstract, column 2, lines 29-50, column 4, line 38-column 5, line 18. column 5, lines 38-41, column 6, lines 59-column 7, line 40, When the return values retrieved from both stacks are equal, then the program counter is updated with the current return address value, otherwise an exception is generated to pass the correct value to the program counter.).

Response to Arguments

- 21. Applicant's arguments filed May 8, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 22. On page 7 Applicant argues that claims 15-20 have all been amended to correct the objection to the specification and the 35 USC 101 rejection. However Examiner notes that claims 15 and 16 have not been amended at all to correct the objection to the specification and the 35 USC 101 rejection. Therefore appropriate correction is still required. See objection and rejection above.
- 23. On page 8, Applicant argues in essence:

"Lee does not disclose or suggest storing the return address in a first stack and a second stack at the same time."

However, before the subroutine is completed, there is some common time that the return address is stored in both the first and second stacks. On completion of the subroutine, a comparator compares the address currently stored in the first and second locations. So Lee has in fact taught storing the

Art Unit: 2181

return address in a first stack and a second stack at the same time (abstract, lines 1-12, column 2, lines 29-50, A return address is saved in a first stack and a second stack upon encountering a jump routine.) Therefore this argument is moot.

24. On page 8, Applicant argues in essence:

"In contrast with claim 1, second and third locations, are separate from the stack memory (see abstract) Hence, Lee does not disclose or suggest the storing of the return address in the first and second stacks at the same time, where the second stack stores a return address for each function call instruction that calls a called function during program execution, as in Claim 1."

Examiner acknowledges that the second location (Figure 2, element 110) is separate from stack 118. However, the second location (Figure 2, element 110a-n) is still a stack. 110a-n is a computer memory consisting of arrays of memory elements stacked one on top of another, which is a stack. See Merriam-Webster's online dictionary to support this definition of stack. So Lee has in fact taught storing the return address in the first and second stacks at the same time (abstract, lines 1-12, column 2, lines 29-50, A return address is saved in a first stack and a second stack upon encountering a jump routine. The first stack is element 118 in Figure 2. The second stack is shown in Figure 2, elements 110a-110n.). Therefore this argument is moot.

25. On pages 8 and 9, Applicant argues in essence:

"Lee does not disclose or suggest executing an exception handling code when the return addresses do not mach, wherein the exception handing code determines what value to pass a program pointer based on the return address retrieved from each of the first and second stacks, as in Claim 1."

Art Unit: 2181

However, Lee has taught executing an exception handling code when the return addresses do not mach, wherein the exception handing code determines what value to pass a program pointer based on the return address retrieved from each of the first and second stacks (abstract, column 2, lines 29-50, column 4, line 38-column 5, line 18, column 5, lines 38-41, column 6, lines 59-column 7, line 40, When the values retrieved from both stacks are equal, then the program counter is updated with the current return address value, otherwise an exception is generated to pass the correct value to the program counter). The exception handling code necessarily determines what value to pass to the program pointer based on the retrieved return addresses. If the return addresses are the same, then the current value is passed, but if the return addresses are different, then the correct value must be calculated. The calculation of the correct value is necessarily based on the return values, because the return values determine whether a new correct value needs to be calculated or not. Applicant has not claimed that once the values are determined to be different then the two returned values are used in an algorithm/equation to calculate a correct value. If Applicant would like this or some other specific meaning read into the claims, then applicant is respectfully requested to claim those limitations. Therefore this argument is moot.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tonia LM Dollinger whose telephone number is (571)

Art Unit: 2181

272-4170. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday with first Friday's

off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Alford Kindred can be reached on (571) 272-4037. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TLMD

/Tonia LM Dollinger/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2181